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Analytic and peaualytic functions of a complex variable have been used in the solution of axisymmetrir problems in the theory of elasticity (see, for example, [1 to 5]). In [6 and 7], the same results were accomplished by using generalized analytic functions which do not differ essentially from the functions introduced in [8].

In this manner, Fredholm integral equations were obtained for the first and second fundamental problems for simply as well as multiply connected bodies of revolution.

The method given below deals with the fundamental mixed problem in which the applied forces are specified on one part of the boundary while the displacements are given on the other part. The singular integral equation which is obtained is analogous to the corresponding equation in the plane theory of elasticity [ 9 and 10 ]. This equation is then investigated, and the existence of a solution is proved.

1. Let $D$ be a symmetric plane region representing the cross-section of a body of revolution, and let $L$ be the boundary of this region consisting of simple, closed curves with no common points. Introduce a zor coordinate system in the plane of the axial crosssection, the $z$-axis coinciding with the axis of symmetry. The parts of $D$ lying to the right and to the left of the $z$-axis (Fig. 1) will be designated by $D^{\prime \prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. The


FIG. 1 designations $L^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ are assigned in a similar manner. The interior contours $L_{j}^{\prime}$ and $L_{j}^{\prime \prime}$. $(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ do not intersect the axis of symmetry. The remaining interior contours $L_{j}(j=$ $=m+1, \ldots, n$ ) will be numbered from the bottom upwards in the order in which they intersect $z$. The outside contour $L_{n+1}$ contains within it all the remaining ones. Assume that the curvature of every contour satisfies the condition $H$ (1). The positive direction on $L$ is chosen so that the region $D$ is on the left. The initial points on the $L_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ curves ( $j=m+1, \ldots \ldots, n+1$ ) will be designated by $x_{j}$ and the final points by $x_{j}^{\prime}$ (Fig. 1).

All considerations presented herein are also applicable (with appropriate modifications) to
toroidal bodies ( $n=m$ and the contour $L_{n+1}$ decomposes into two closed curves $L_{n+1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}$ having no common points) as well as infinite regions with axisymmetric holes (the contour $L_{n+1}$ is then absent).

As was shown in [6], the gencral solution of the axisymmetric problem may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 G(w+i u)=x^{\prime} \Phi(t, \bar{t})-\overline{\Phi^{\prime}(t, \bar{t})}-\overline{\Psi(t, \bar{t})} \tag{1,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $w$ and $u$ are the axial and radial displacements of a point in the elastic body, $G$ is the shear modulus, $x^{\prime}=3.5-4 v ; v$ is Poisson's ratio, while $\Phi(t, \bar{t})$ and $\Psi(t, \bar{t})$ are generalized analytic functions of the arguments $t=z+i r$ and $t=z-i r$, and satisfy differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{t}}-\frac{1}{t-\bar{t}}(\Phi-\bar{\Phi})=0 \quad\left(2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t, \bar{t})=\overline{\Phi(\bar{t}, t)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol $\Phi^{\prime}(t, \bar{t})$ denotes the derivative in the sense of $L$. Bers with respect to th generating pair ( $1, i / r$ ), and is numerically equal to $\partial \Phi / \partial z$.

In cylindrical coordinates, the stress components corresponding to the displacements in (1.1) are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{z}+\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{\theta}=2(1+v)\left(\Phi^{\prime}+\overline{\Phi^{\prime}}\right), \quad \sigma_{z}+\sigma_{r}=2\left(\Phi^{\prime}+\overline{\Phi^{\prime}}\right)-2 G(u / r) \\
\sigma_{z}+i \tau_{z r}=1,5 \Phi^{\prime}+\overline{\Phi^{\prime}}-t \bar{\Phi}^{\prime \prime}-\overline{\Psi^{\prime}} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $c d$ be an arbitrary, smooth curve in $D^{\prime}$, and let $p_{z}$ and $p_{r}$ be the applied loads on the surface of revolation generated by revolving the above curve about the $z$-axis. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.-R+\frac{i}{r} Z=0.5 \Phi(t, \bar{t})+\overline{\Phi^{\prime}(t, \bar{t}}\right)+\overline{\Psi(t, \bar{t})}-C-\frac{2(1-v)}{t-\bar{t}} C^{\prime}- \\
-2(1-v) \int_{c}^{t}\left[2 i \operatorname{Im} \Phi\left(t_{1}, \bar{t}_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{t_{1}-\bar{t}_{1}} C^{\prime}\right] \frac{d t_{1}+d \overline{t_{1}}}{t_{1}-\bar{t}_{1}}  \tag{1.5}\\
Z(s)=\int_{0}^{s} p_{z}\left(s_{1}\right) r\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1}, \quad R(s)=\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{r}\left(s_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}\left(s_{1}\right)_{1}} Z\left(s_{1}\right) \frac{d z\left(s_{1}\right)}{d s_{1}}\right] d s_{1} \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $s$ is the running coardinate of the point $t$ on the curve, measured from $c$, and $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are real constants.

In order to examine the conditions for single-valuedness and continuity of the stresses and displacements, we use the following representations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(t, \bar{t})=\Phi_{*}(t, \bar{t})+\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \Theta\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{i} \Xi\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{t-\bar{t}} A \\
\Psi(t, \bar{t})=\Psi_{*}(t, \bar{t})-x^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} \Theta\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)+x^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j} \Xi\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{j} ; \bar{t}_{j}\right)-\frac{x^{\prime}}{t-\bar{t}} A \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $A, A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$ are real constants, $t_{j}$ are arbitrary fixed points inside the respec-
tive contours $L_{j}^{\prime}(j=1,2, \ldots m)$ and $L_{j}(j=m+1, \ldots n)$ and $\Phi_{*}$ and $\Psi_{*}$ are generalized analytic functions, regular in $D$ (i.e. the functions and their derivatives are single-valued and continuous). The functions $\Xi$ and $\Theta$ are logarithmic, satisfying Equation (1,2) and condition (1.3); in traversing a contour $L_{j}^{\prime}$ in a counterclockwise direction, $\Omega\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{j}, \vec{t}_{j}\right)$ increases by $2 \pi$ while $\Theta\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)$ increases by $2 \pi /(t-\bar{t})$. For $\operatorname{Im} t \geqslant 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} t_{j} \geqslant 0$, these functions are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Xi\left(\bar{t}, \bar{t}_{;} t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)--2 K(\delta, q)+2 \frac{z-z_{j}}{\left|t-\bar{t}_{j}\right|}(1+q) \boldsymbol{K}(q)+\frac{2 i\left|t-\bar{t}_{j}\right|}{r(1+q)}[\boldsymbol{K}(q)-\boldsymbol{E}(q)] \\
\theta\left(t, \bar{t}_{i} t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)=\frac{1+q}{\left|t-\bar{t}_{j}\right|} \boldsymbol{K}(q)+\frac{i}{r}\left[H(\delta, q)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]  \tag{1.8}\\
H(\delta, q)=\boldsymbol{E}(q) F\left(\delta, q^{\prime}\right)-K(q) F\left(\delta, q^{\prime}\right)+\boldsymbol{K}(q) E\left(\delta, q^{\prime}\right) \\
q=\frac{\left|t-\bar{t}_{j}\right|-\left|t-t_{j}\right|}{\left|t-\bar{t}_{j}\right|+\left|t-t_{j}\right|}, \quad q^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-q^{2}} \quad 8=\cos ^{-1}\left[\frac{q}{q^{*}}\left(\frac{r}{q r_{j}}-1\right)^{1 / t}\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $F\left(\delta, q^{\prime}\right)$ and $E\left(\delta, q^{\prime}\right)$ are incomplete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively, with modulus $q^{\prime}$, and $K(q)$ and $E(q)$ are complete elliptic integrals with modulus $q$. We confine ourselves to those branches of the functions $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\Theta$ for which $\delta=\pi / 2$ if $r=0$ and $z \rightarrow \infty$, while $\delta=-\pi / 2$ if $r=0$ and $z \rightarrow-\infty$. The branch cuts connect the points $t_{j}$ and $\bar{t}_{j}$ for all $j=1$ to $m$, intersecting the $z=$ axis at the same point, which lies in $D$, above the last contour $L_{n}$. When $i \geqslant m+1$, we set $t=\vec{t}_{j}=z_{j}$ and place the branch cut on the $z$-axis, along $z \leqslant z_{j}$.
2. Divide $L^{\prime}$ into $n_{1}+1$ segments $l_{k}^{\prime}\left(0 \leqslant k \leqslant n_{1}, n_{1} \geqslant n\right)$, whose initial points are denoted by $c_{k}$. The points $c_{k}$ and $\bar{c}_{k}$ which are not on the axis of symmetry will be called nodes; the points where $L$ intersects the $z$-axis are not included among the nodes. The number of nodes is $2 p\left(p \leqslant n_{1}\right)$. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ be the set of curve segments $l_{k}{ }^{7}$ on which the external loads $p_{z}$ and $p_{r}$ are specified, and let $\Lambda_{2}$ be the set of curve segments $l_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ on which the displacements $w$ and $u$ are specified. On a given contour $L_{j}$ segments belonging to $\Lambda_{1}$ alternate with segments belonging to $\Lambda_{2}$. For the present, we will assume that none of the contours $L_{j}^{\prime}$ belongs completely to $\Lambda_{1}$. Substituting (1.7) into (1.5) and (1.1), and letting $t$ approach the boundary point $\tau_{0} \in l_{k}^{\prime}\left(0 \leqslant k \leqslant n_{1}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.2 b\left(\tau_{0}\right) \Phi_{*}\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{0}\right)-x^{\prime} \Phi_{*}\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{0}\right)+\tau_{0} \overline{\Phi_{*}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{0}\right.}\right)+\overline{\Psi_{*}\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{0}\right.}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} S_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j} T_{j}\left(\tau_{\theta}\right)-b\left(\tau_{0}\right) \int_{c_{k}}^{\tau_{0}} 2 i \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{*}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \frac{d \tau+\bar{\tau}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}=-f\left(\tau_{0}\right)+C\left(\tau_{0}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \text { Here }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=2 b\left(\tau_{0}\right) \theta_{j}-x^{\prime}\left(\theta_{j}+\bar{\theta}_{j}\right)+\tau_{0} \bar{\theta}_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\tau_{0}-\bar{\tau}_{0}} \alpha_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right) b\left(\tau_{0}\right)- \\
-b\left(\tau_{0}\right) \int_{c_{k}}^{\tau_{0}}\left[2 i \operatorname{Im} \theta\left(\tau, \bar{\tau} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} \alpha_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\right] \frac{d \tau+d \bar{\tau}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} \\
T_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=2 b\left(\tau_{0}\right) \Xi_{j}-x^{\prime}\left(\Xi_{j}-\bar{\Xi}_{j}\right)+\tau_{0} \bar{\Xi}_{j}-b\left(\tau_{0}\right) \int_{c_{k}}^{\tau_{0}} 2 i \operatorname{Im} \Xi\left(\tau, \bar{\tau} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right) \frac{d \tau+d \bar{\tau}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} \\
\theta_{j}=\theta\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{0} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right), \quad \Xi_{j}=\Xi_{\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}_{0} ; t_{j}, \bar{t}_{j}\right), \quad A=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

and when $\tau_{0} \in l_{k} \in \Lambda_{1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(3-2 x^{\prime}\right), \quad b\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(1+2 x^{\prime}\right), \quad f\left(\tau_{0}\right)=R_{k}+\frac{2}{\tau_{0}-\bar{\tau}_{0}} Z_{k} \\
C\left(\tau_{0}\right)=C_{k}+\frac{2(1-v)}{\tau_{0}-\overline{\tau_{0}}} C_{k}^{\prime}-2(1-v) C_{k} \int_{c_{k}}^{\tau_{0}} \frac{d \tau+d \bar{\tau}}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

whereas, for $\tau_{*} \in l_{k}{ }^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}-x^{\prime}, \quad b\left(\tau_{0}\right)=0, \quad f\left(\tau_{0}\right)=2 G(w+i u), \quad C\left(\tau_{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ may be obtained from (1.6), where $c_{k}$ is the initial point in case $x_{j}^{\prime}$ is not an end point of $l_{k}{ }^{\prime}$; if the opposite is true, $x_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ is taken as the initial point in integrating (1.6) (in the negative direction). In general, the number of real constants $C_{k}$ and $C_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ equals $p ; C_{k}^{\prime}=0$ for curve segments adjoining the $z$-axis. $\alpha_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ equals unity if $c_{k}=x_{j}$ $(j=m+1, \ldots n)$, and zero in all other cases. Under these conditions, the left- and righthand sides of (2.1) are continuous over the interval of each curve $l_{k}^{\prime}$ ' (the branch cuts for $\Theta_{j}$ and $\Xi_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ pass through one of the points $\left.c_{h} \in L_{j}^{\prime}\right)$.

Following the ideas of Sherman [9], we will represent the regular part of (1.7) in the form of generalized Cauchy type integrals

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{*}(t, \bar{t})-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} F(\tau) W d \tau \quad\left(W=W(t, \tau)=\frac{\Psi(t, \tau)}{\tau-t}\right) \\
\Psi_{*}(t, \bar{t})=\frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int_{L} \bar{F}(\tau) W\left[\left(1-2 x^{\prime}\right) d \tau+d \bar{\tau}\right]-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L}^{*} F(\tau)\left(\bar{\tau} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} d \tau-W \bar{\tau}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the weight function $F(T)$ satisfies (1.3), W is the generalized Cauchy kernal [6], $\psi(t, \tau)$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(t, \tau)=\left|\frac{\tau-\bar{\tau}}{\tau-\bar{t}}\right|\left[\boldsymbol{K}\left(h_{1}\right)-D\left(h_{1}\right)\right], \quad \operatorname{Im} \tau \cdot \operatorname{Im} t \geqslant 0 \\
\Psi(t, \tau)=\left|\frac{\tau-\bar{\tau}}{\tau-t}\right| D\left(h_{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{Im} \tau \cdot \operatorname{Im} t \leqslant 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{D}(k)=\frac{1}{k^{2}}[\boldsymbol{K}(k)-\boldsymbol{E}(k)], \quad k_{1}=\frac{\bar{V} \overline{\tau-\tau|\cdot| t-\bar{t} \mid}}{|\tau-\bar{t}|}, \quad k_{2}=\frac{\sqrt[|\tau-\bar{\tau}| \cdot|t-\bar{t}|]{|\tau-t|}}{}$
Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime} \Phi_{*}(t, \bar{t})+t \Psi_{*}^{\prime}(t, \bar{t})-\Psi_{*}(\bar{t}, \bar{t})=\frac{1-2 x^{\prime}}{4 \pi i} \int_{L} F(\tau)(W d \tau-\bar{W} d \tau)+ \\
\left.+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L}^{\prime} \bar{F} \bar{\tau}\right)\left[(\tau-t) \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial z} \tilde{U}-\bar{W} d \tau\right]-\frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int_{L} F(\tau)(H+\bar{W}) d \tau=  \tag{2.7}\\
=\frac{1-2 x^{\prime}}{4 \pi i} \int_{L} F(\tau)(W d \tau-\bar{W} d \bar{\tau})-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} F(\tau) \bar{W}_{1}\left(\frac{\bar{\tau}-t}{\tau-\bar{t}} d \tau-d \bar{\tau}\right)- \\
-\frac{1}{4 \pi i} \int_{L} F(\tau) \frac{\tau+\bar{\tau}-t-\bar{t}}{\tau-\bar{t}}(W+\bar{W}) d \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $W_{1}=W(t, \bar{T})$, and we have taken into account

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{2(\tau-t)}\left(2 W+W_{1}+\bar{W}_{1}\right), \quad \int_{L} F(\bar{\tau}) W_{1} d \bar{\tau}=-\int_{L} F(\tau) W d \tau
$$

Noting that the Sokhotskii-Plemelj formulas hold for generalized Cauchy type integrals, we let $t$ approach $\tau_{0}$ in (2.5) and (2.7). Substituting the resultant expressions in (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{j}=\operatorname{Re} \int_{L_{j}^{\prime}} F(\tau) d s=\frac{1}{2} \int_{L} F(\tau) \rho_{j}(\tau) d \tau \\
B_{j}=\operatorname{Im} \int_{L_{j}} F(\tau)|\tau-\bar{\tau}| d s=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{L} F(\tau)(\tau-\bar{\tau}) \rho_{j}(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\rho_{j}(\tau)=d \bar{\tau} / d s$ for $\tau \in L_{j}$, and $\rho_{j}=0$ in all other cases. As a result, (2.1) becomes a singular integral equation in $F(\tau)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
a\left(\tau_{0}\right) F\left(\tau_{0}\right)+\frac{b\left(\tau_{0}\right)}{\pi i} \int_{L} W\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right) F(\tau) d \tau+\int_{L} K\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right) F(\tau) d \tau=-f\left(\tau_{0}\right)+C\left(\tau_{0}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
K\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=\frac{2 \chi^{\prime}-1}{4 \pi i} \psi\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right) \frac{d}{d \tau} \ln \bar{\tau}-\bar{\tau}-\bar{\tau}_{0} \\
-\frac{1}{4 \pi i}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \psi\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}\right) \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\frac{\bar{\tau}-\tau_{0}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}_{0}}\right)- \\
-\frac{b\left(\tau_{0}\right)}{\pi i} Q\left(\tau+\bar{\tau}-\tau_{0}-\bar{\tau}_{0}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.10}\\
\left(\tau-\bar{\tau}_{0}\right)\left|\tau-\tau_{0}\right|^{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2(\tau-\bar{\tau})} b\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \rho_{j}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_{\jmath}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left(\tau\left(\tau_{0,} \tau\right)-\beta\left(\tau_{0}, \bar{\tau}\right)\right]-\right. \\
\rho_{j}(\tau) \\
Q\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=\int_{c_{k}}^{\tau_{0}}\left[W(t, \tau)-\overline{W(t, \bar{\tau})]} \frac{d t+d \bar{t}}{t-\bar{t}} \quad\left(t, \tau_{0} \in l_{k^{\prime}}\right)\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\beta\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=1$ if $\tau \in c_{k} \tau_{0}$ with $\tau_{0} \in l_{k}{ }^{\prime}$, and $\beta\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=0$ for other relative arrangements between $\tau_{0}$ and $\tau$. In differentiating with respect to $\tau$, it should be kept in mind that $\bar{\tau}$ is a function of $\tau$ while $\tau_{0}$ and $\bar{\tau}_{0}$ are constants. Although it was assumed above that $\operatorname{Im} \tau_{0} \geqslant 0$, it turns out that (2.9) holds for arbitrary $\tau_{0}$, provided that
$a\left(\tau_{0}\right)=a\left(\bar{\tau}_{0}\right), b\left(\tau_{0}\right)=b\left(\bar{\tau}_{0}\right), f\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\overline{f\left(\bar{\tau}_{0}\right)}, C\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\overline{C\left(\bar{\tau}_{0}\right)}, K\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=-K\left(\overline{\tau_{0}}, \bar{\tau}\right)$
The kernel $K\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=\frac{N\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)}{\left|\tau-\tau_{0}\right|^{\lambda}\left|\tau-\bar{\tau}_{0}\right|^{\lambda}} \prod_{(k)}\left(\left|\tau-c_{k}\right| \cdot\left|\tau-\bar{c}_{k}\right|\right)^{-\lambda} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the continued product symbol extends over all nodes, $N\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)$ is a function of class $H$, and $\lambda$ is a number in the range $0<\lambda<1$, which can be made arbitrarily small.

Note that Equation (2.9) may be transformed into an integral equation with the usual Cauchy kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\tau_{0}\right) F\left(\tau_{0}\right)+\frac{b\left(\tau_{0}\right)}{\pi i} \int_{L} \frac{F(\tau) d \tau}{\tau-\tau_{0}}+\int_{L}\left[\frac{1}{\pi i} K_{0}\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)+K\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)\right] F(\tau) d \tau=-f\left(\tau_{0}\right)+C\left(\tau_{0}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel $K_{0}\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)=b\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left[\psi\left(\tau_{0}, \tau\right)-1\right]\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{-1}$ does not contribute any new singularities to (2.12) if none of the segments $l_{k}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{1}$ adjoin the $z$-axis. However, if, for example, $x_{j} \in \Lambda_{1}$, then, for $T_{0}=\bar{T}_{0}=x_{j}$ and $T \rightarrow x_{j}$, this kernel has a singularity of the type const $\left(\tau-x_{j}\right)^{-1}$.
3. Suppose $f(\tau)$ is of class $H_{0}$, and $d f / d \tau$ is of class $H^{*}$. We seek a solution $F(\tau)$ to (2.9), belonging to class $h_{2 p}$ (the terminology is that of [11]). By using the method of [10] together with certain additional considerations, it can be shown that $F(\tau)$ is of $H$, and the derivative $d F / d \tau$ is of class $H^{*}$. It follows from this that the expressions in the righthand sides of (1.1) and (1.5) extend continuously to all points of every segment $l_{l}^{\prime}$ and the computations of section 2 are valid.

Consider Equation (2.9) for $f\left(\tau_{0}\right) \equiv 0$. Let $F_{0}(\tau)$ be the solution of this equation, satisfying (1.3), and let $\Phi_{0}(t, \bar{t})$ and $\Psi_{0}(t, \bar{t})$ be the corresponding generalized analytic functions. It can be shown that the stresses obtained by substituting $\Phi_{0}$ and $\Psi_{0}$ into (1.4) may be continuously extended to all points on the boundary $L$, except for the nodes. In the neighborhood of each node $c_{k}$, their magnitudes do not exceed const $\left|t-c_{k}\right|^{-a}(\alpha<1)$. Thus, the uniqueness theorem holds. Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(t, \bar{t})=\gamma+\frac{1}{t-\vec{t}} \gamma^{\prime}, \quad \Psi_{0}(t, \vec{t})=x^{\prime} \gamma-\frac{x^{\prime}}{t-\vec{t}} r^{\prime}, \quad C_{k} \equiv \gamma, \quad C_{k}^{\prime} \equiv \gamma^{\prime} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are real constants. Substituting the expressions obtained for $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ into (1.7) while taking into acount that $A=0$ and that $\Phi_{*}$ and $\Psi_{*}$ are regular, we obtain $\gamma^{\prime}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j} \equiv 0, \quad B_{j} \equiv 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilization of (2.5), the second equation in (2.5) being modified via integration by parts, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L_{2}} F_{0}(\tau) W d \tau \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x^{\prime} \gamma=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L}\left\{\left(1-x^{\prime}\right) \overline{F_{0}(\tau)}-\bar{\tau} \frac{d}{d \tau} F_{0}(\tau)-\frac{\bar{\tau}}{2(\tau-\bar{\tau})}\left(1-\frac{d \bar{\tau}}{d \tau}\right)\left[F_{0}(\tau)-\overline{F_{0}(\tau)}\right\} W W \tau\right.$
If we now introduce the notation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi^{*}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=F_{0}(\tau)-\tau \\
\Psi^{*}(\tau, \vec{\tau})=\left(1-x^{\prime}\right) \overline{F_{0}(\tau)}-\bar{\tau} \frac{d}{d \tau} F_{0}(\tau)-\frac{\bar{\tau}}{2(\tau-\bar{\tau})}\left(1-\frac{d \bar{\tau}}{d \tau}\right)\left[F_{0}(\tau)-\overline{F_{0}(\tau)}\right]-x^{\prime} \gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

it follows from (3.3) that $\Phi *(\tau ; \bar{\tau})$ and $\Psi *(\tau, \bar{\tau})$ are the boundary values of $\Phi^{*}(t, \bar{t})$ and $\Psi *(t, \bar{t})$, which are regular in the regions $D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{1}^{\prime \prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, D_{m}^{\prime}, D_{m}^{\prime \prime}, D_{m+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{n}$, $D_{n+1}$ and vanish at infinity (the finite regions $D_{j}^{\prime}$ and $D_{j}^{\prime \prime} j=1,2, \ldots, m$ lie within the respective $L_{j}^{\prime}$ and $L_{j}^{\prime \prime}$; the regions $D_{j}[j=m+1, \ldots, n]$ are within the contours $L_{j}$, and the infinite region $D_{n+1}$ lies outside the contour $L_{n+1}$ ).

Eliminating $F_{0}(\tau)$ from (3.4), we obtain

Here, $\Phi^{*}(\tau, \bar{\tau})$ represents the boundary value of $\Phi^{\prime \prime}(t, \bar{t})$. Application of Green's theorem readily yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im} \int_{L_{j}} \Phi^{*^{\prime}}\left[\left(1-x^{\prime}\right) \overline{\Phi^{*}}-\bar{\tau} \Phi^{*^{\prime}}-\Psi^{*}\right]|\tau-\bar{\tau}| d \tau=-8 \int_{D_{j}^{\prime}}\left[2(1-v)\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi^{*^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\right. \\
\left.+(1-2 v)\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi^{*^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right] r d z d r \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n+1) \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

With the aid of (3.5), the left-hand side of (3.6) can be seen to vanish. Thus, $\Phi^{*}{ }^{\prime}(t, \bar{t}) \equiv 0$, and
$\Phi^{*}(t, \bar{t})=\gamma_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{t-\bar{t}} \gamma_{j}^{\prime}, \quad \Psi^{*}\left(t, t \bar{t}=-8(1-v) \tau+\left(1-x^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{j}-\frac{1-\chi^{\prime}}{t-\bar{t}} \gamma_{j}^{\prime}, t \in D_{j}^{\prime}\right.$
Here $\gamma_{j}$ and $\gamma_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ are real constants, and $y_{j}{ }^{\prime}=0(j \geqslant m+1)$.
From the conditions at infinity, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n+1}=0, \quad \gamma=0 \tag{3,8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{0}(\tau)=\gamma_{j}+\frac{1}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} \gamma_{j}^{\prime} \quad\left(\tau \in L_{j}^{\prime}+L_{j}^{\prime \prime} ; \quad j-1,2, \ldots m\right) \\
F_{0}(\tau)=\gamma_{j} \quad\left(\tau \in L_{j} ; j=m+1, \ldots n\right), \quad F_{0}(\tau)=0 \quad\left(\tau \in L_{n+1}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, utilization of (2.8) and (3.2) yields $\gamma_{j} \equiv 0$ and $\gamma_{j}^{\prime} \equiv 0$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(\tau)=0, \quad C_{k} \equiv 0, \quad C_{k}^{\prime} \equiv 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner it may be shown that the homogeneons equation (2.9) has no solution other than the trivial one. Condition (1.3) on $F(\tau)$ does not restrict generality, since, as a result of (2.11), the arbitrary solution of (2.9) may be written in the form $F_{1}(\tau)+i F_{2}$ $(\tau)$, where $F_{1}(\tau)$ and $F_{2}(\tau)$ satisfy (2.9) and (1.3).

The remaining discussion of this section is based on the assumption that Noether's theorems hold for Equation (2.9) [or (2.13)] (if no point on the axis of symmetry belongs to $\Lambda_{1}$, then this is obvious, for in that case $K_{0}$ is a Fredholm kernel).

The index of the class $h_{2 p}$ of Equation (2.9) equals ( $-p$ ). Hence, there exist $p$ linearly independent solutions of class $h_{0}$ to the associated homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(\tau_{0}\right) \mathrm{K}\left(\tau_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{L} b(\tau) W\left(\tau, \tau_{0}\right) \mathrm{K}(\tau) d \tau+\int_{\dot{L}} K\left(\tau, \tau_{0}\right) \mathrm{K}(\tau) d \tau=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without restricting generality, these solutions $K_{j}(\tau)(j=1,2, \ldots, p)$ may be taken to satisfy (1.3).

Now the conditions for the existence of a solution to (2.9) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{L}[C(\tau)-f(\tau)] \mathrm{K}_{j}(\tau) d \tau=2 i \operatorname{Im} \int_{L^{\prime}}[C(\tau)-f(\tau)] \mathrm{K}_{j}(\tau) d \tau=0 \quad(j=1,2, \ldots p) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, one may easily obtain a system of real, linear equations for the determination of the constants $C_{k}$ and $C_{k}{ }^{\prime}$. Proceeding in a manner similar to [10] and taking into account (3.1) to (3.10), we can show that the determinant of this system is nonzero. Consequently the posed problem has a real solution.
4. Let us remove the restrictions placed on $\Lambda_{1}$ in section 2. Let for example, the contour $L_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ be entirely in $\Lambda_{1}$. Then, for $j=m$, we write in place of (2.8) (cf. [7]):

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{m}=\frac{1}{4 \pi(1-v)} \int_{L_{m}}^{2} r p_{z} d s, 4 \pi B_{m}-\int_{L_{m}}\left[2 i \operatorname{Im~I}(\tau, \bar{\tau})-\frac{1}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} C_{m}^{\prime}\right] \frac{d \tau+d \bar{\tau}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}= \\
=\frac{1}{2(1-v)} \int_{L_{m}^{\prime}}\left(p_{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} Z_{m} \frac{d z}{d s}\right) d s \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
C_{m}=-\operatorname{Re} \int_{L_{m}^{\prime}} F(\tau) d s, \quad C_{m}^{\prime}=-\operatorname{Im} \int_{L_{m}^{\prime}} F(\tau)|\tau-\bar{\tau}| d s
$$

Both equations in (2.5) must be supplemented by terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m} \theta^{\prime}\left(t, \bar{t} ; t_{m}, \bar{t}_{m}\right) \quad\left(b_{m}=\operatorname{Re} \int_{L_{m}^{\prime}} \overline{F(\tau)}(\tau-\bar{\tau}) d \tau\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x^{\prime}$ therein must be replaced by $x_{1}(\tau)=-0.5$ for $\tau \in L_{m}^{\prime}+L_{m}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ while $x_{1}(\tau)=x^{\prime}$ for the remaining parts of $L$. The resultant equation is of the form (2.9), with $b\left(\tau_{0}\right)=0$ for $\tau_{0} \in L_{m}^{\prime}+L_{m}^{\prime \prime}$. The existence of a solution is then readily shown in the same manner as in section 3.

The indicated method may be extended to a case with several contours $L_{j}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{1}$ (some of which may adjoin the axis of symmetry).
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